



The Role of Modern Technology in Enhancing School Administration and Management: A Study of Selected Public Schools in Nsama District, Zambia

Kapoma James K.¹, Dr. Patricia Eunice Lupupa²

Pg Scholar

Abstract – Purpose: This study examined the role of modern technology in enhancing school administration in selected public primary schools in Nsama District, Zambia. **Methodology:** A descriptive mixed-methods design was used. Data were collected from 40 respondents (3 headteachers, 3 deputies, 4 HODs, 30 teachers) across three purposively selected schools via questionnaires, interviews, and document reviews. **Results:** Mobile phones/WhatsApp (100%), computers (86.5%), and printers (81.1%) were most common. **Benefits:** improved record accuracy (89%), faster communication (100%), reduced paperwork (86%). **Challenges:** inadequate computers (81.1%), unreliable electricity (78.4%), poor internet (75.7%), limited ICT skills (70.3%), insufficient funding (67.6%), lack of technical support (64.9%). Schools used coping strategies (mobile alternatives, peer support, PTA involvement) but lacked formal ICT plans. **Conclusion:** Technology enhances school administration but adoption in rural schools is constrained by infrastructure deficits, funding gaps, and low digital literacy. **Recommendations:** Increase ICT funding, expand rural electrification/internet, establish district ICT support units, and mandate ICT professional development.

Keywords: Modern technology, School administration, ICT integration, Rural schools, Nsama District, Zambia

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Technological advancement has transformed education globally, with schools shifting from manual to digital systems for faster, more accurate operations (Cuban, 2013). In Zambia, the Ministry of Education promotes ICT use through the National ICT Policy (Ministry of General Education, 2016). However, adoption varies widely between urban and rural schools. Nsama District, in Northern Province, faces infrastructural challenges, yet even basic technology like mobile phones can improve school administration.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

Many public schools in Nsama District still rely on manual approaches (handwritten registers, physical files, in-person reporting). These systems are time-consuming, error-prone, and cause reporting delays. Administrators are aware of technology's benefits, but uptake is limited due to unreliable electricity, limited computer access, lack of ICT training, and no dedicated budgets.

1.3 Research Objectives

The study sought to: (1) determine types/levels of technology available; (2) analyze current technology use in administration; (3) explore perceived benefits; (4) identify challenges; (5) propose recommendations.

1.4 Research Questions

What technological tools are available? How are they utilized? What benefits are perceived? What challenges hinder use? What interventions can support increased use?

1.5 Significance

This study provides evidence-based insights on ICT integration challenges and opportunities in rural African school contexts.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Theoretical Framework

The study is informed by the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM – Davis, 1989), stating adoption depends on perceived usefulness and ease of use, and Systems Theory (Hoy & Miskel, 2013), viewing a school as an interconnected system where technology strengthens linkages between administration, communication, and instruction.

2.2 Forms and Benefits of Technology

School Management Information Systems (SMIS) manage attendance, assessment, staff data, and finances (Ofori & Asamoah, 2021). Communication technologies (WhatsApp) improve stakeholder engagement (Mphahlele & Wessels, 2017). Digital finance tools enhance transparency (Ng'ambi, 2020). Research shows technology eliminates data loss, delays, and inaccuracies (Adu & Tella, 2019).

2.3 Challenges

Barriers include inadequate infrastructure (electricity, internet), insufficient funding, limited ICT competencies, resistance to change, and poor technical support (Toure, 2019; Ofori & Asamoah, 2021). In Zambia, urban schools show success, but rural adoption remains limited (Ministry of General Education, 2016).



III. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

Descriptive survey design with mixed-methods approach (Creswell & Creswell, 2018).

3.2 Study Area

Nsama District, Northern Province, Zambia (rural area with limited ICT infrastructure).

3.3 Target Population and Sample

Target population: 78 personnel from three public primary schools. Sample: 40 respondents (3 headteachers, 3 deputies, 4 HODs, 30 teachers). Purposive sampling for administrators; simple random for teachers. Response rate: 92.5%.

3.4 Research Instruments

Structured questionnaires (teachers/HODs), semi-structured interviews (headteachers/deputies), document analysis checklist.

3.5 Validity and Reliability

Instruments reviewed by supervisors and pre-tested. Cronbach's Alpha = 0.78 (acceptable).

3.6 Data Analysis

Quantitative: descriptive statistics (frequencies, percentages). Qualitative: thematic analysis.

3.7 Ethical Considerations

Informed consent, confidentiality, right to withdraw, authorization from district education office.

IV. RESULTS

4.1 Demographic Characteristics

Of 37 respondents: 59.5% male, 40.5% female. Majority had 6+ years experience. Qualifications: Degree (62%), Diploma (28%), Postgraduate (10%).

4.2 Types of Technology Available

- Technology %
- Mobile phones/WhatsApp 100%
- Computers 86.5%
- Printers 81.1%
- Internet connectivity 73.0%
- SMIS 59.5%
- Projectors 32.4%

4.3 Frequency of Technology Use

Daily: 32.5%, Several times/week: 35.0%, Weekly: 18.9%, Rarely: 13.5%. A deputy headteacher stated: "We only have two functional computers, so some records still need to be done manually."

4.4 Perceived Benefits

Benefit %

Faster communication 100%

Improved record accuracy 89%

Reduced paperwork 86%

Better academic supervision 78%

Enhanced financial management 48.6%

A headteacher reported: "Previously, retrieving files took hours. Now, performance data is accessed within minutes."

4.5 Major Challenges

- Challenge %
- Inadequate computers/printers 81.1%
- Unreliable electricity 78.4%
- Poor internet connectivity 75.7%
- Limited ICT skills 70.3%
- Insufficient funding 67.6%
- Lack of technical support 64.9%
- Resistance to change 45.9%

4.6 Coping Strategies

Schools used mobile phones as alternatives, peer support, PTA involvement for minor acquisitions, free software (Google Drive, WhatsApp), and digital file storage. However, strategies were reactive; most schools lacked formal ICT plans.

V. DISCUSSION

The findings confirm that modern technology plays a growing role in school administration in Nsama District, consistent with global trends (Cuban, 2013) and regional studies (Adu & Tella, 2019). High perceived usefulness aligns with TAM (Davis, 1989), but resource constraints reduce ease of use and adoption speed.

Benefits identified—improved accuracy, faster communication, reduced paperwork—match existing literature (Mphahlele & Wessels, 2017; Ofori & Asamoah, 2021). Universal mobile phone/WhatsApp use reflects low-cost adaptation strategies seen across Africa (Toure, 2019).

However, challenges are systemic. Inadequate infrastructure (81.1%), unreliable electricity (78.4%), and poor internet (75.7%) mirror rural Zambian studies (Ng'ambi, 2020; Jere & Banda, 2022). Limited digital literacy (70.3%) and lack of technical support (64.9%) align with Ofori and Asamoah (2021) in Ghana.

According to Systems Theory (Hoy & Miskel, 2013), schools lack critical external resources (electricity, funding, technical support), negatively affecting internal technology-based processes. Absence of formal ICT policies results in uncoordinated implementation. Without significant investment in infrastructure, training, and policy support, the rural-urban digital divide will widen.



VI. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1 Conclusions

(1) Modern technology is essential for public school administration, improving efficiency, data management, and accountability. (2) Schools in Nsama District have positive attitudes toward digital transformation, but resource availability strongly influences success. (3) Persistent challenges (digital illiteracy, weak infrastructure, poor connectivity, insufficient funding) impede full adoption. (4) Stronger policy support and formal ICT frameworks are needed. (5) Sustainable transformation requires a systems-wide approach with coordinated investment, capacity building, and monitoring.

6.2 Recommendations

To the Ministry of Education:

1. Increase ICT funding (computers, printers, networking devices).
2. Strengthen ICT policy implementation and monitoring.
3. Establish provincial/district ICT support units with technicians.

To School Administrators:

1. Develop school-based ICT strategic plans (equipment needs, budgets, timelines).
2. Transition core administrative tasks from manual to digital systems.
3. Promote a positive ICT culture and reward adoption.

To Teachers and Staff:

1. Commit to continuous ICT skill development through training and peer learning.
2. Use available ICT tools consistently for attendance, assessment, and communication.
3. Adopt data-driven decision-making using ICT-based analytics.
4. Share digital knowledge across departments through mentoring.

To Local Community and Stakeholders:

1. Support resource mobilization through PTAs, traditional leaders, and partnerships.
2. Participate in ICT maintenance and development projects.
3. Promote positive attitudes toward digital transformation.

To ICT Service Providers:

1. Improve network coverage and reliability in rural areas.
2. Provide technical support and user training.
3. Establish rural ICT development partnerships with government and NGOs.

For Future Research:

1. Comparative studies across rural and urban schools.
2. Longitudinal studies on ICT integration.

3. Cybersecurity and data privacy studies.

Limitations: Limited to three schools in one district; findings not fully generalizable. Poor road conditions limited access. Self-reported data may include recall bias. No longitudinal assessment.

REFERENCES

1. Adu, E. O., & Tella, A. (2019). School Management Information Systems. *J. Educational Technology*, 16(2), 45-58.
2. Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). *Research design* (5th ed.). Sage.
3. Cuban, L. (2013). *Inside the black box of classroom practice*. Harvard Education Press.
4. Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319-340.
5. Hoy, W. K., & Miskel, C. G. (2013). *Educational administration* (9th ed.). McGraw-Hill.
6. Jere, N., & Banda, G. (2022). ICT infrastructural challenges in rural Zambian schools. *Zambia J. Teacher Education*, 6(2), 87-101.
7. Ministry of General Education, Zambia. (2016). *ICT integration guidelines*. Government Printer.
8. Mphahlele, R. S., & Wessels, J. S. (2017). Digital communication in school administration. *S. African J. Education*, 37(3), 1-10.
9. Ng'ambi, D. (2020). ICT in Zambian schools. *Zambia J. Teacher Education*, 6(2), 87-101.
10. Ofori, E., & Asamoah, M. K. (2021). School Management Information Systems in Ghana. *African Ed. Research J.*, 9(4), 112-125.
11. Tavakol, M., & Dennick, R. (2011). Making sense of Cronbach's alpha. *Intl. J. Medical Education*, 2, 53-55.
12. Toure, K. (2019). ICT in sub-Saharan African education. *African J. Information Systems*, 13(1), 56-74.
13. Ubulom, W. J., & Joshua, S. (2020). Digital finance tools. *Nigerian J. Ed. Admin.*, 17(2), 34-49.
14. Venkatesh, V., & Bala, H. (2008). Technology Acceptance Model 3. *Decision Sciences*, 39(2), 273-315.