International Journal for Novel Research in Economics , Finance and Management
www.ijnrefm.com
Volume 4, Issue 1, Jan-Feb-2026, PP: 01-10

Behavioural Impact of Game-Based Learning on Employee Engagement and Productivity in Service Companies under Indian IT Industry.

Udayashankar Rao KN , Corresponding Author:
Dr. JK Tandon
Department of Management, Jaipur National University, Jaipur,
Rajasthan, India

***Abstract* – This research investigates how gamification strategies impact learner engagement, motivation, collaboration, and information retention within corporate training programs, particularly in IT service organizations. A structured survey involving 180 professionals from ten firms revealed that gamified learning is perceived as more effective than conventional methods by 65% of respondents. Leaderboards (82%) and achievement badges (78%) were identified as key motivators. While 75% of participants expressed increased willingness to engage in gamified modules, 60% noted that overly competitive elements could be counterproductive. The study concludes with five strategic recommendations for sustainable gamification: customized learning journeys, career-relevant incentives, integration of real-world scenarios, collaborative challenges, and periodic content updates. These insights offer valuable guidance for instructional designers and corporate educators aiming to optimize training outcomes.**

Keywords: **Gamification, Employee Engagement, Corporate Training, Motivation, IT Sector, Learning Retention**

I. INTRODUCTION

Gamification—the application of game mechanics in non-entertainment contexts—has emerged as a transformative approach in corporate learning. By embedding elements such as points, badges, and leaderboards into training modules, organizations aim to foster deeper engagement and sustained motivation among employees. In the fast-paced IT sector, where continuous learning is essential, gamification offers a promising alternative to traditional instruction. However, despite its growing popularity, comprehensive studies on its behavioral impact in corporate settings remain limited. This research seeks to fill that gap by examining how gamification influences learner behaviour and identifying best practices for its effective implementation.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Gamification draws upon psychological principles of motivation, combining intrinsic drivers like autonomy and mastery with extrinsic rewards such as recognition and competition (Kapp, 2012). Deterding et al. (2011) emphasize the importance of gamefulness in enhancing user experience, while Hamari et al. (2014) caution against superficial implementations that may lead to disengagement. Prior studies suggest that gamification can improve short-term learning outcomes, but its long-term effectiveness depends on thoughtful design and alignment with learner goals. In corporate environments, especially within IT firms, gamification must balance competitive elements with collaborative opportunities to ensure inclusivity and relevance.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Participants

The study surveyed 280 professionals employed in domestic and international IT service companies. Participants ranged in age from 25 to over 55, with 75% reporting more than 12 years of industry experience. The sample included individuals with prior exposure to gamified training modules.

Data Collection Tool

A comprehensive questionnaire was developed to gather data on demographic profiles, gamification experiences, perceived effectiveness, preferred game elements, motivational impact, collaborative dynamics, implementation barriers, and suggestions for improvement. Quantitative responses were recorded using a 5-point Likert scale, while qualitative feedback was analysed thematically.

Procedure

The survey was administered online between February and July 2025. Invitations were sent via internal communication channels across ten IT firms. Participation was voluntary and responses were anonymized to ensure confidentiality. Descriptive statistics were used to interpret quantitative data, and thematic coding was applied to open-ended responses.

IV. RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION

Demographic Overview

Category	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Age 25–34	22	12

Age 35–44	76	42
Age 45–54	86	48
Experience >12 years	135	75
Experience 8–12 years	27	15
Experience 4–7 years	14	8
Prior gamification exposure	104	58

Preferred Gamification Features

Feature	Endorsement (%)
Leaderboards and rankings	82
Achievement badges	78
Interactive simulations	70
Narrative-based learning	65
Points and scoring systems	60

Motivation and Retention

- 75% of respondents strongly agreed that gamification increased their willingness to participate in training.
- 68% indicated that meaningful rewards contributed to sustained motivation and better retention of concepts.
- Collaboration and Team Dynamics
- 70% acknowledged that gamification encouraged both competition and teamwork.
- 55% reported participating in team-based gamified activities at least occasionally.

Challenges in Implementation

Barrier	Reported by (%)
Overemphasis on competition	60
Lack of meaningful rewards	55
Limited real-world relevance	50
Technical accessibility issues	45

Recommendations for Improvement

Strategy	Support (%)
Personalised learning paths	67
Career-aligned incentives	62
Real-world scenario integration	58
Collaborative task design	55
Regular content updates	50

V. HYPOTHESIS INFERENCE

H_1: GBL Improves Engagement and Execution

- Majority of respondents rated GBL as "somewhat more effective" or "much more effective" than traditional training.
- Most are at least "somewhat familiar" with gamification, and those with higher familiarity report greater effectiveness.

H_2: Behavioral Impact (Collaboration, Creativity, Problem-Solving)

- Many agree that GBL encourages collaboration and strengthens team bonding.
- Participation in team-based gamification challenges is common, especially among those who rate GBL highly.

H_3: Motivation and Participation

- Most respondents say GBL increases their willingness to participate in training.
- Motivation is higher when gamification elements are included, with "always" or "most of the time" being common responses.

H_4: Performance Improvement

- Respondents report that GBL improves knowledge retention, makes learning more fun, and helps track progress.
- "Instant feedback" and "interactive challenges" are cited as especially impactful.

H_5: Role-Based Differences

- Project Managers, Software Developers, and IT Consultants are the most represented roles.
- While all roles see benefits, Project Managers and Developers report slightly higher perceived effectiveness of GBL.

H_6: Demographic Influence

- Most respondents are in the 35–54 age range with over 12 years of experience.
- There is some indication that younger employees and those with less experience are more open to GBL, but the effect is modest.
- Gender and education data were not explicitly captured, so this aspect is limited.

H_7: IT Division Comparison

- The majority of responses are from IT service companies, with some from product and hybrid companies.
- There is no strong evidence that GBL is more effective in product companies, but service companies do report positive impacts.

H_8: Challenges in Implementation

- Common challenges include:
- Too much competition discouraging participation
- Rewards not being meaningful enough
- Difficulties accessing or using gamified platforms
- Lack of real-world application of game elements
- Suggestions for improvement include more personalized learning paths, better integration with career growth, and more meaningful rewards.

VI. DISCUSSION

The findings affirm that gamification can significantly enhance learner engagement and motivation in corporate training environments. Leaderboards and badges emerged as powerful motivators, while narrative-driven modules added depth to learning experiences. However, excessive competition and generic rewards were identified as potential drawbacks. To ensure long-term effectiveness, gamification strategies must be tailored to individual learning styles and career goals. A balanced approach that integrates both competitive and cooperative elements is essential for fostering inclusive and meaningful learning.

VII. CONCLUSION

Gamification represents a dynamic and impactful approach to corporate learning, particularly in the IT sector where continuous skill development is vital. When thoughtfully designed, gamified modules can boost engagement, motivation, and retention. This study recommends a strategic framework for sustainable gamification, emphasizing personalization, relevance, and collaboration. Future research should explore adaptive gamification systems and longitudinal outcomes to further validate its effectiveness.

VIII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author gratefully acknowledges the invaluable guidance and mentorship of Professor JK Tandon, Department of Management Studies, Jaipur National University, Jaipur, India, whose expertise and encouragement were instrumental throughout the research process. The author also extends sincere thanks to Jaipur National University for providing the necessary resources and institutional support to carry out this study. Appreciation is due to all the professionals from participating IT service companies who generously contributed their time and insights by responding to the survey. Their cooperation was essential for the successful completion of this research.

IX. COMPETING INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

X. DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data supporting the findings of this study were generated through a structured survey conducted in IT service organisations. Due to confidentiality and privacy constraints, the data are not publicly available. Anonymised data may be made available by the corresponding author upon reasonable academic request.

XI. ETHICAL APPROVAL STATEMENT

The study was conducted in accordance with ethical research principles. Participation in the survey was voluntary, informed consent was obtained from all participants, and responses were collected anonymously. No personal or sensitive identifying information was recorded. As the study involved non-invasive survey-based research with adult participants, formal institutional ethical approval was not required.

REFERENCES

1. Deterding, S., Dixon, D., Khaled, R., & Nacke, L. (2011). From game design elements to gamefulness: Defining “gamification.” Proceedings of the 15th International Academic MindTrek Conference, 9–15. ACM.
2. Hamari, J., Koivisto, J., & Sarsa, H. (2014). Does gamification work? A literature review of empirical studies on gamification. Proceedings of the 47th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences.
3. Kapp, K. M. (2012). The Gamification of Learning and Instruction: Game-Based Methods and Strategies for Training and Education. Pfeiffer.
4. Hamari, J., Koivisto, J., & Sarsa, H. (2014). Does gamification work? A literature review of empirical studies on gamification. Proceedings of the 47th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS), 3025–3034. IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/HICSS.2014.377
5. Seaborn, K., & Fels, D. I. (2015). Gamification in theory and action: A survey. International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, 74, 14–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2014.09.006
6. Robson, K., Plangger, K., Kietzmann, J. H., McCarthy, I., & Pitt, L. (2016). Is it all a game? Understanding the principles of gamification. Business Horizons,

59(1), 29–36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2015.08.005
7. Suh, A., Wagner, C., & Liu, L. (2018). Enhancing user engagement through gamification. Journal of Computer Information Systems, 58(3), 204–213. https://doi.org/10.1080/08874417.2016.1229143
8. Liu, D., Li, X., & Santhanam, R. (2013). Digital games and beyond: What happens when players compete? MIS Quarterly, 37(1), 111–124. https://doi.org/10.25300/MISQ/2013/37.1.05