International Journal for Novel Research in Economics , Finance and Management
www.ijnrefm.com
ISSN:3048-7722
Volume 4, Issue 6, Nov-Dec-2026, PP: 01-03

# Working Capital and Cash Flow Management in Business Enterprises

**Nahid Almasov[1] Malak Nadirli[2]**
Azerbaijan University, Jeyhun Hajibeyli, 71, Baku

**Abstract.- A business enterprise can report a profit while experiencing difficulty paying suppliers, employees, or lenders. This situation can arise when customers pay after operating expenses fall due or when cash remains tied up in inventory. This article examines how business enterprises can coordinate working capital management and cash flow forecasting to address these timing differences. Drawing on selected studies and an illustrative case, it develops a framework that combines a rolling cash forecast, monitoring of receivables, inventory and payables, and an assessment of the operational consequences of proposed actions. The illustrative case shows how faster collections and lower inventory holdings could release cash under stated assumptions. A sensitivity analysis shows how weaker collection performance would reduce the estimated amount. The article concludes that working capital targets should reflect customer payment behavior, inventory requirements, and supplier terms. Combining these targets with a short-term cash forecast helps managers identify when a funding gap may arise and assess which actions could address it.**

**Keywords : financial management, working capital, cash flow forecasting, cash conversion cycle, business enterprises liquidity**

## I. INTRODUCTION

Financial management in business enterprises involves decisions about investment, financing, and the allocation of resources to ongoing operations. Working capital management is central to day-to-day operations because it determines how much funding is tied up in inventory and customer receivables and how much operating activity is financed through supplier credit. Managers influence these balances through decisions about purchasing, inventory levels, customer crediT terms, and supplier payments.

Profitability and liquidity describe different aspects of financial performance. A wholesale enterprise may record revenue when it delivers goods, although customers pay several weeks later. During that interval, the enterprise may need to pay suppliers, meet payroll, and service debt. If sales grow under the same credit terms, the amount tied up in receivables can increase alongside reported profit. A cash flow forecast helps management determine whether expected collections will arrive in time to cover upComing obligations.

This article addresses the following research question. How can business enterprises coordinate working capital decisions and cash flow forecasting to maintain liquidity while supporting profitable operations? Its objective is to develop a framework for identifying short-term cash gaps, evaluating possible responses, and monitoring the effects of those rEsponses on business operations.

## II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Richards and laughlin (1980) developed a cash conversion cycle approach to liquidity analysis that focuses on the timing of operating cash flows. The cash conversion cycle (ccc) estimates the interval between paying for operating inputs and collecting cash from sales. It is commonly expressed as inventory days plus receivable days minus payable days. A firm that holds inventory for 50 days, collects receivables in 45 days, and pays suppliers in 35 days has an estimated cash conversion Cycle of 60 days.

Each component points to a different management decision. Inventory days reflect how long funds remain tied up in stock. Receivable days indicate how quickly credit sales are converted into cash. Payable days indicate how long the firm takes to pay suppliers. The aggregate figure can obscure changes in individual components. For instance, a firm may report a stable cash conversion cycle because it is paying suppliers later while also taking longer to collect customer invoices. Reviewing the components separately helps managers identify which operating practices are driving the result.

Deloof (2003) Examined the relationship between working capital and profitability among belgian firms and found that firms with shorter inventory and receivable periods tended to be more profitable. García-teruel And Martínez-Solano (2007) examined spanish smes and likewise reported an association between working capital management and profitability. These findings support the inclusion of inventory and receivable measures in routine financial analysis. They also provide a basis for examining whether

International Journal for Novel Research in Economics , Finance and Management
www.ijnrefm.com
ISSN:3048-7722
Volume 4, Issue 6, Nov-Dec-2026, PP: 01-03

changes in working capital are followed by improvements in operating performance in a specific enterprise. Onlinelibrary.

Baños-Caballero et al. (2014) Found evidence of an inverted u-shaped relationship between investment in working capital and firm performance. Their analysis suggests that performance can suffer when working capital investment is too low or too high, and that financial constraints affect the level associated with better performance. In practice, carrying too much stock ties up cash, while carrying too little can cause Stockouts. Similarly, tighter credit terms can accelerate collection while reducing sales to customers that depend on trade credit. These trade-offs make service levels, customer retention, and supplier arrangements relevant when setting financial targets.

## III. RESEARCH APPROACH

The article uses a conceptual synthesis of selected literature on liquidity, the cash conversion cycle, and working capital management. The analysis connects three elements of financial decision-making: the timing of expected cash receipts and payments, the operating practices that determine that timing, and the effect of proposed changes on customers and suppliers. The framework is then applied to a hypothetical wholesale enterprise to demonstrate how managers can estimate the cash effect of changing reCeivable and inventory targets.

The illustrative calculation assumes stable sales and cost of goods sold, uses a 365-day year, and expresses amounts in monetary units (mu). It estimates changes in average working capital balances once the proposed targets are reached. A sensitivity calculation tests how the estimate changes if customer payments improve morE slowly than expected.

## IV. RESULTS

### 1. A Framework for Coordinating Cash Flow and Working Capital

The framework begins with a rolling weekly cash forecast. Management records opening cash, expected collections, and scheduled payments, then compares the projected closing balance with a minimum operating cash balance. When the forecast identifies a potential shortfall, managers examine overdue receivables, stock levels, and supplier commitments to determine what is causing the gap and which actions can release cash in time.

Table 1. A Framework for Coordinating Cash Flow and Working Capital

| Step | Management activity | Output |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Forecast cash flows | Estimate weekly receipts and payments using invoice dates, customer payment history, payroll schedules, and other commitments. | Projected cash balances and dates of possible shortfalls |
| 2. Diagnose the cause | Review overdue invoices, inventory turnover, and upcoming supplier payments alongside the forecast. | Identification of the balances or payment dates driving the gap |
| 3. Evaluate corrective actions | Estimate the amount and timing of cash released by each action. Consider effects on sales, product availability, and supplier relationships. | A decision on which actions to implement and when |
| 4. Review actual results | Compare actual receipts and payments with the forecast and track the causes of material differences. | Updated forecasts and revised collection or inventory targets |

The forecast and the cash conversion cycle answer different management questions. The cycle helps identify why cash is tied up in operations. The forecast shows when cash is likely to become available and whether it will cover a specific payment. An enterprise may improve its average collection period over a quarter and still face a shortfall on a particular payroll date. Using both measures helps management distinguish a recurring working capital problem from a temporary mismatch in payment dates.

### 2. Application to a Wholesale Enterprise

Consider a wholesale enterprise with annual credit sales of mu 1,825,000 and annual cost of goods sold of mu 1,095,000. The enterprise currently holds inventory for 50 days on average, collects receivables in 45 days, and pays suppliers in 35 days. It proposes reducing receivable days to 35 by resolving invoice disputes earlier and following up systematically on overdue balances. It also plans to reduce inventory days to 45 by adjusting replenishment quantities for slow-moving products while maintaining stock for frequently purchased items.

International Journal for Novel Research in Economics , Finance and Management
www.ijnrefm.com
ISSN:3048-7722
Volume 4, Issue 6, Nov-Dec-2026, PP: 01-03

| Indicator | Current level | Target level | Estimated cash effect |
|---|---|---|---|
| Receivable days | 45 | 35 | MU 50,000 released |
| Inventory days | 50 | 45 | MU 15,000 released |
| Payable days | 35 | 35 | No change |
| Cash conversion cycle | 60 days | 45 days | MU 65,000 total estimated release |

Average daily credit sales amount to mu 5,000. A reduction of 10 receivable days therefore implies an estimated mu 50,000 decrease in average receivables. Average daily cost of goods sold amounts to mu 3,000, so a reduction of five inventory days implies an estimated mu 15,000 decrease in average inventory. The mu 65,000 represents a potential release of cash tied up in operating assets once the targets are reached. Its timing depends on when customers pay and how quickly existing stock is sold with fewer rEplacement purchases.

If receivable days fall only from 45 to 40, the estimated release from receivables declines to mu 25,000. With the planned inventory improvement, the total estimated release would then be mu 40,000. If the weekly forecast shows a funding gap of mu 50,000, the weaker collection scenario would leave mu 10,000 uncovered even if the estimated cash release were fully realized by the due date. Management would therefore need to check the expected receipts invoice by invoice before relying on the working capital measures to meet that obligation.

## V. CONCLUSION

Business enterprises can strengthen short-term financial management by linking working capital decisions to the cash consequences they expect in specific weeks. Monitoring the cash conversion cycle helps managers identify whether funds are tied up in receivables or inventory and understand the role of supplier payment terms. A rolling forecast then helps determine whether proposed actions can release enough caSh before obligations fall due.

The illustrative case shows that the estimated cash release depends materially on collection performance. It also shows why firms should evaluate working capital measures alongside operating outcomes, including product availability, customer payment behavior, and supplier relationships. The results are based on a hypothetical enterprise and simplified assumptions. Future research could apply the framework to company data and compare forecast accuracy, overdue receivables, inventory availability, and reliance on short-term borrowing before and after implementation.

## REFERENCES

1. Baños-Caballero, S., García-Teruel, P. J., & Martínez-Solano, P. (2014). Working capital management, corporate performance, and financial constraints. Journal of Business Research, 67(3), 332–338. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2013.01.016
2. Deloof, M. (2003). Does working capital management affect profitability of Belgian firms? Journal of Business Finance & Accounting, 30(3–4), 573–588. https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-5957.00008
3. García-Teruel, P. J., & Martínez-Solano, P. (2007). Effects of working capital management on SME profitability. International Journal of Managerial Finance, 3(2), 164–177.
4. Richards, V. D., & Laughlin, E. J. (1980). A cash conversion cycle approach to liquidity analysis. Financial Management, 9(1), 32–38. https://doi.org/10.2307/3665310
5. Aktas, N., Croci, E., & Petmezas, D. (2015). Is working capital management value-enhancing? Evidence from firm performance and investments. Journal of Corporate Finance, 30, 98–113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2014.12.008 sciencedirect.com
6. Almeida, H., Campello, M., & Weisbach, M. S. (2004). The cash flow sensitivity of cash. The Journal of Finance, 59(4), 1777–1804. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.2004.00679.x onlinelibrary.wiley.com
7. Glaum, M., Schmidt, P., & Schnürer, K. (2018). Processes and accuracy of cash flow forecasting: A case study of a multinational corporation. Journal of Applied Corporate Finance, 30(2), 65–82. https://doi.org/10.1111/jacf.12301 Wiley Online Library
8. Jose, M. L., Lancaster, C., & Stevens, J. L. (1996). Corporate returns and cash conversion cycles. Journal of Economics and Finance, 20(1), 33–46. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02920497 Scilit
9. Opler, T., Pinkowitz, L., Stulz, R., & Williamson, R. (1999). The determinants and implications of corporate cash holdings. Journal of Financial Economics, 52(1), 3–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X(99)00003-3 sciencedirect.com
10. Salas-Molina, F., Rodríguez-Aguilar, J. A., & Guillen, M. (2023). A multidimensional review of the cash management problem. Financial Innovation, 9, Article 67. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40854-023-00473-7