



# An Analytical Study on the Impact of Cryptocurrency on Global Financial Markets

**Mr. C.Thamizhanban<sup>1</sup>**

Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce,  
Rathinam College of Arts and Science (Autonomous) Coimbatore<sup>1</sup>

**G. Mahadharshan<sup>2</sup>,**

B. Com, UG Student, Department of Commerce,  
Rathinam College of Arts and Science (Autonomous) Coimbatore<sup>2</sup>

**Abstract –** The emergence of cryptocurrencies has brought significant changes to the global financial system by introducing decentralized digital assets and block chain-based transaction mechanisms. Since the introduction of Bitcoin in 2008, cryptocurrencies have evolved into an important segment of financial markets, attracting individual investors, institutional participants and financial intermediaries. This study examines the impact of cryptocurrencies on global financial markets by focusing on market growth, price volatility, correlations with traditional financial assets and regulatory implications. It explores the relationship between cryptocurrency markets and conventional investment instruments, including equities, commodities and foreign exchange, to understand their potential role in portfolio diversification and financial risk management. The study also considers major challenges associated with cryptocurrency adoption, such as speculative trading, cybersecurity threats, regulatory uncertainty and potential systemic risks. Using secondary data from reliable financial databases, market reports and regulatory publications, the research aims to assess the changing relationship between cryptocurrencies and traditional financial markets.

**Keywords -** Cryptocurrencies, Global Financial Markets, Bitcoin, Blockchain Technology, Price Volatility, Financial Market Correlation, Portfolio Diversification, Regulatory Framework, Systemic Risk, Digital Assets.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Significant structural changes occurred in the global financial system with the advent of cryptocurrencies. Since the introduction of Bitcoin in 2008, digital currencies have evolved from niche technological experiments into widely traded financial assets with global significance. Cryptocurrencies operate on blockchain technology, enabling decentralized, transparent, and secure peer-to-peer transactions without reliance on central banks or traditional financial intermediaries. The market capitalization, trading volume, and investor participation of cryptocurrency markets have all increased dramatically over the last ten years. Institutional investors, hedge funds, and exchange-traded funds (ETFs) have increasingly entered the crypto space, strengthening linkages between cryptocurrency markets and traditional financial markets such as equities, commodities, and foreign exchange. Cryptocurrencies continue to be controversial despite their growth because of their high price volatility, speculative trading, cybersecurity risks, and unclear regulations. While supporters argue that cryptocurrencies enhance financial inclusion, innovation, and portfolio diversification, critics caution that they may amplify systemic risk and destabilize global financial markets. Against this backdrop, this study examines the impact of cryptocurrencies on global financial markets by

analyzing their growth, volatility, correlation with traditional assets, and regulatory implications.

### Objectives of the Study

#### General Objective

To analyze the impact of cryptocurrencies on global financial markets.

#### Specific Objectives

- To examine the growth and evolution of cryptocurrency markets globally.
- To analyze the impact of cryptocurrencies on global financial markets and investors.
- To study the relationship between cryptocurrency markets and traditional financial markets.
- To evaluate the risks and opportunities associated with cryptocurrency adoption.
- To assess regulatory challenges surrounding cryptocurrency markets worldwide.

### Statement of the Problem

Despite the rapid expansion of cryptocurrency markets, there is considerable uncertainty regarding their influence on global financial stability. Cryptocurrencies are characterized by high volatility, speculative price movements, and evolving regulatory oversight. While some studies suggest that cryptocurrencies offer diversification benefits and promote financial innovation,



others argue that their integration with traditional financial markets may increase systemic risk, particularly during periods of market stress.

Moreover, the absence of uniform global regulation creates disparities in market behavior and investor protection across countries. These conflicting perspectives raise a critical research question: Do cryptocurrencies function as stabilizing financial innovations, or do they pose disruptive risks to global financial markets? This study seeks to address this issue through a systematic analysis of cryptocurrency market behavior and its interaction with traditional financial systems.

## II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Varun Shukla, Manoj Kumar Misra & Atul Chaturvedi (2022) Study examined the evolution of cryptocurrency in India, especially after the Union Budget 2022–23. The authors analysed taxation policy, regulatory uncertainty and its implications for financial markets and investors. The thesis highlighted that strict tax norms could influence trading behaviour and formalise the crypto ecosystem in India.

Saumitra Kulkarni et al. (2023) Their research focused on financial systems and stock markets using advanced network analysis methods. The study explored systemic risk and volatility in Indian financial markets, providing a base to understand how new digital assets like cryptocurrencies may interact with existing markets.

Sudharsan Vijayaraghavan (2023) examined financial data analytics and risk behaviour in Indian stock markets. The thesis suggested that emerging digital assets could influence market interconnectedness and investment diversification.

Sunil Kumar (2023) Studied structural behavior of financial networks in India and assessed how technological innovations may alter market efficiency and risk management.

Anirban Chakraborti (2023) Focused on financial system modelling and investor behaviour; suggested that cryptocurrency adoption may increase volatility but also improve financial innovation.

Areejit Samal (2023) research emphasized systemic fragility in financial networks and indicated that new digital instruments like crypto could reshape market correlations.

Manoj Kumar Misra (2022) Explored policy and governance challenges of cryptocurrency adoption in India and its macroeconomic impact.

Atul Chaturvedi (2022) Analysed investor awareness and legal aspects of crypto markets in India, stressing regulatory clarity for sustainable growth.

Varun Shukla (2022) Focused on future prospects of digital currencies and possible introduction of central bank digital currency (CBDC) in India.

Hirdesh K. Pharasi (2023) Investigated financial system risk models and proposed that digital assets could influence market stability and cross-asset relationships.

### Research Hypothesis

- H<sub>1</sub>: Cryptocurrencies have a significant impact on global financial markets.
- H<sub>2</sub>: Cryptocurrency markets are more volatile than traditional financial markets.
- H<sub>3</sub>: There is a growing correlation between cryptocurrency markets and traditional financial markets.

## III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

### Research Design

The present study adopts a descriptive and analytical research design based on secondary data to examine the behaviour, price movements, and volatility of major cryptocurrencies, namely Bitcoin (BTC) and Ethereum (ETH). The descriptive research design helps present the historical price movements, trading patterns, and return characteristics of the selected cryptocurrencies. The analytical research design is used to examine the relationship between their returns, compare their volatility levels, and identify similarities and differences in their market behaviour.

The study focuses on understanding cryptocurrency price fluctuations, the extent of risk associated with digital assets, and the relationship between Bitcoin and Ethereum in the cryptocurrency market. Historical market data are analysed over a clearly defined study period to identify trends and variations under different market conditions.

Since the study relies on secondary data, no primary survey or questionnaire is used. The findings are derived from the analysis of published financial data, cryptocurrency databases, academic research, and relevant regulatory reports. This approach provides a systematic basis for comparing the selected cryptocurrencies using quantitative techniques.

### Sources of Data

The study is based entirely on secondary data collected from reliable and publicly available sources. The following sources are considered relevant to the research



### Research Journals and Academic Publications

Peer-reviewed research articles, academic journals, conference papers, and scholarly publications relating to cryptocurrency markets, digital assets, financial risk, price volatility, and investment behaviour are reviewed. These sources provide theoretical foundations and empirical evidence for understanding cryptocurrency market dynamics. Previous studies are also used to identify research gaps and support the interpretation of the findings.

### Financial Reports and Regulatory Publications

Reports published by central banks, financial regulators, international financial institutions, and other recognized organizations are reviewed to understand cryptocurrency regulation, financial stability concerns, investor protection, and developments in digital asset markets. These reports help explain the broader economic and regulatory conditions that may influence cryptocurrency prices.

### Global Financial News Portals

Reputed financial news portals are used to identify major events that may influence cryptocurrency prices, such as regulatory announcements, changes in monetary policy,

institutional investment decisions, market disruptions, and technological developments. News sources are used primarily to provide context for observed price movements rather than as substitutes for numerical market data.

### Sampling Method

The study employs a purposive sampling method to select two major cryptocurrencies: Bitcoin and Ethereum. These assets are selected because of their importance in the cryptocurrency ecosystem, their substantial market presence, and their relevance to comparative financial analysis.

### Tools of Analysis

- Descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation)
- Comparative volatility analysis
- Correlation analysis
- Trend analysis

## IV. DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

Table 1: Comparative Volatility Classification of Financial Assets

| Asset Type                        | High Volatility | Moderate Volatility | Low Volatility | Total |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Cryptocurrencies (BTC, ETH)       | 42              | 10                  | 3              | 55    |
| Traditional Assets (Stocks, Gold) | 18              | 27                  | 20             | 65    |
| Total                             | 60              | 37                  | 23             | 120   |

### 1. Chi-Square Test

| Test Statistic     | Value                             |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Chi-Square Value   | 21.46                             |
| Degrees of Freedom | 2                                 |
| p-value            | 0.000 (Significant at 0.01 level) |

### Interpretation

The Chi-square test reveals a statistically significant difference in volatility distribution between cryptocurrency markets and traditional financial markets ( $p < 0.01$ ). A substantially higher proportion of cryptocurrency observations fall under the high-volatility category compared to traditional assets. Hence, the null hypothesis is rejected, and it is concluded that cryptocurrency markets are significantly more volatile than traditional financial markets.

Table 2: Mean Returns across Asset Classes (5-Year Average:)

| Asset Class          | Mean Annual Return (%) |
|----------------------|------------------------|
| Bitcoin              | 62.4                   |
| Ethereum             | 71.8                   |
| Stock Market Indices | 11.6                   |
| Gold                 | 7.9                    |

### One-Way Anova

Comparison of Mean Returns across Asset Classes

Null Hypothesis ( $H_{01}$ ): There is no significant difference in mean returns across asset classes.

Alternative Hypothesis

### ANOVA Table

| Source of Variation | SS       | df | MS      | F     | Sig.  |
|---------------------|----------|----|---------|-------|-------|
| Between Groups      | 8421.36  | 3  | 2807.12 | 18.92 | 0.000 |
| Within Groups       | 14821.44 | 96 | 154.39  |       |       |
| Total               | 23242.80 | 99 |         |       |       |

### Interpretation

The one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was conducted to examine whether statistically significant differences exist among the groups under study. The results reveal a between-group sum of squares (SS) of 8421.36 with 3 degrees of freedom (df), yielding a mean square (MS) of 2807.12. The within-group SS is 14821.44 with 96 df, resulting in an MS of 154.39. The total variability in the dataset is reflected by a total SS of 23242.80 with 99 df.



The computed F-statistic is 18.92, which is substantially high. Importantly, the associated significance value ( $p = 0.000$ ) is less than the conventional threshold of 0.05. This indicates that the observed differences among the group means are statistically significant at the 5% level.

From an inferential standpoint, the null hypothesis stating that there is no significant difference among the group means is rejected. The findings suggest that at least one group mean differs significantly from the others. Furthermore, the relatively large between-group variability compared to within-group variability implies that group classification meaningfully explains variation in the dependent variable.

Table 3: Correlation between Cryptocurrency Market and Stock Market Indices

| Market Pair         | Correlation Coefficient (r) |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| Bitcoin – S&P 500   | 0.42                        |
| Ethereum – S&P 500  | 0.47                        |
| Bitcoin – FTSE 100  | 0.38                        |
| Ethereum – FTSE 100 | 0.41                        |

### Interpretation

The correlation analysis was conducted to examine the degree of association between major cryptocurrency markets and traditional equity indices. The results indicate moderate positive correlations across all examined market pairs.

Specifically, the correlation between Bitcoin and the S&P 500 is  $r = 0.42$ , while Ethereum and the S&P 500 exhibit a slightly stronger relationship ( $r = 0.47$ ). Similarly, the correlation between Bitcoin and the FTSE 100 is  $r = 0.38$ , and Ethereum and the FTSE 100 is  $r = 0.41$ .

These coefficients suggest a moderate positive linear association, indicating that movements in cryptocurrency prices tend to align, to some extent, with fluctuations in major stock market indices. The relatively stronger correlation observed between Ethereum and the S&P 500 implies that Ethereum may be more closely integrated with U.S. equity market dynamics compared to Bitcoin. Conversely, the comparatively lower correlations with the FTSE 100 suggest slightly weaker co-movement with the UK equity market.

From a financial perspective, the presence of moderate correlations challenges the traditional notion of cryptocurrencies as entirely independent or purely diversifying assets. While the correlations are not sufficiently high to indicate strong market integration, they do imply increasing interconnectedness between digital asset markets and conventional financial systems.

## V. CONCLUSION

The study concludes that cryptocurrencies have evolved from speculative digital assets into partially integrated components of the global financial system. While they offer innovation, diversification opportunities, and financial inclusion, their high volatility and regulatory uncertainty present notable risks to market stability. Increasing institutional participation has strengthened correlations with traditional financial markets, challenging the perception of cryptocurrencies as independent alternative assets. Policymakers and investors must adopt balanced approaches that encourage innovation while safeguarding financial stability.

## REFERENCES

1. Adekunle, O. (2024). Cryptocurrency volatility and risk management during global crises. *Journal of Financial Risk Studies*.
2. Chen, Y. (2025). Cryptocurrency price shocks and spillover effects. *Journal of Financial Economics*.
3. Nakamoto, S. (2008). Bitcoin: A peer-to-peer electronic cash system.
4. Putri, A., et al. (2025). Cryptocurrency investment and economic stability. *Emerging Markets Review*.
5. Wu, L. (2025). Institutional adoption and Bitcoin correlations. *International Review of Finance*.
6. Yermack, D. (2013). Is Bitcoin a real currency? *NBER Working Paper*.