



# Application Of Artificial Intelligence In Financial Forecasting And Risk Management: Evidence From Indian Financial Markets

Loksha N

Assistant Professor  
Department of Commerce  
GFGC Bukkapatna

**Abstract**—The fast growth in Artificial Intelligence (AI) and machine learning has brought notable changes to the way financial forecasting and risk management are carried out in global markets. Conventional econometric models, although commonly applied, often face limitations in capturing nonlinear relationships, rapid market fluctuations, and the complex structures present in financial time series data. In this regard, AI-based methods present effective alternatives for improving the accuracy of predictions and supporting better financial decisions. This study explores how artificial intelligence can strengthen financial forecasting and risk management, with a specific focus on Indian financial markets. It compares the effectiveness of traditional econometric approaches—especially the Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity (GARCH) model—with modern learning techniques such as Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) neural networks, which are well-suited for identifying time-dependent patterns in financial data. The analysis is based on secondary data collected from leading financial institutions and stock exchanges in India, including the National Stock Exchange and the Bombay Stock Exchange. To assess forecasting performance, standard evaluation metrics like Mean Squared Error (MSE) and Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) are used. The results indicate that AI-based models deliver better performance than traditional econometric models in forecasting market volatility and financial risk. This improvement is largely due to their capabilities to handle large data and detect complex nonlinear relationships.

**Keywords:** Artificial Intelligence LSTM, GARCH, Financial Forecasting, Risk Management, Machine Learning, Indian Financial Markets

**JEL Classification:** C53, G12, G17, G32, O33

## I. Introduction

Financial markets across the world have experienced significant transformation with the fast growth of digital technologies, big data analytics, and artificial intelligence (AI). In recent years, the integration of AI and machine learning techniques into financial systems has fundamentally altered the way financial forecasting and risk management are performed. Traditional financial models, which are largely based on linear statistical techniques, often struggle to capture the complicated, nonlinear and dynamic behavior of financial markets (Fama, 1970). As financial markets become increasingly data-driven, the need for advanced analytical tools capacity of handling large datasets and identifying intricate patterns has grown substantially (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2017). Financial forecasting is an essential component of investment decisions, portfolio management, and risk

evaluation. Reliable forecasts help investors and financial institutions understand possible market movements, assess risks in advance, and allocate resources more efficiently. Traditionally, econometric models such as Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity (ARCH) and Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity (GARCH) have been largely applied to study financial fluctuation and market dynamics. These models are particularly useful in identifying patterns like volatility clustering and changes in variance over time in financial returns. However, as financial markets have become more complicated and data availability—especially high-frequency data—has increased, certain shortcomings of these traditional approaches have become evident. In particular, they often struggle to adequately represent nonlinear relationships and sudden structural changes are common in financial time series data.

In response to these limitations, researchers and financial institutions have increasingly turned toward artificial intelligence and machine learning techniques to boost forecasting accuracy and risk management capabilities.



Machine learning algorithms, including neural networks, decision trees, and ensemble learning methods, have demonstrated considerable potential in analyzing complex financial datasets and improving prediction performance (Chen & Hao, 2017). Among these techniques, deep learning models such as Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks have acquired a particular attention due to their ability to capture temporal dependencies and long-term patterns in sequential data (Fischer & Krauss, 2018). These models have shown superior performance in estimating stock price movements, market volatility, and financial distress compared to traditional statistical models. The growing surge in financial data—fuelled by electronic trading systems, fintech advancements, and digital financial services—has accelerated the adoption of AI-powered forecasting tools. Financial institutions are increasingly turning to data-centric models to strengthen risk management, identify irregularities, and support more informed decision-making (Gu et al., 2020). These AI-enabled risk assessment systems can analyze vast amounts of information in real time, uncover underlying patterns, and deliver more precise forecasts related to market volatility and potential financial disruptions.

In the context of developing markets such as India, the use of artificial intelligence in financial forecasting and risk management has gained increasing relevance. The Indian financial system has experienced quick technological transformation over the past decade, characterized by the expansion of digital trading platforms, algorithmic trading, and fintech innovations (Reserve Bank of India, 2023). These developments have significantly increased the availability of financial data, creating opportunities for the application of advanced AI-based forecasting models. However, empirical research examining the effectiveness of AI techniques in financial forecasting within emerging market contexts remains relatively limited.

In this context, the present study aims to explore the role of artificial intelligence in financial forecasting and risk management, with a particular focus on Indian financial markets. It undertakes a comparative analysis of the predictive capabilities of conventional econometric techniques—especially the GARCH model—and advanced deep learning methods such as Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks. By assessing the relative performance of these approaches, the study seeks to enrich the existing body of financial technology research and offer insights into how AI-based analytical tools can improve forecasting precision and strengthen risk management practices in emerging market environments.

## II. Literature Review

Financial forecasting and risk management have been central themes in financial economics for several decades. With the emergence of advanced computing technologies and large financial datasets, the focus of research has gradually shifted from traditional econometric techniques to more sophisticated AI and machine learning methods. This section reviews prior literature related to financial forecasting, volatility modeling, and the use of artificial intelligence in financial markets.

### 1. Traditional Approaches to Financial Forecasting

Initial research in financial economics was predominantly based on the Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH), which posits that asset prices incorporate all available information, making it difficult to consistently anticipate market movements (Fama, 1970). However, subsequent studies have uncovered recurring patterns and market anomalies that, under specific conditions, allow for a degree of predictability in financial behaviour.

A foundational contribution to the study of financial market volatility was made by Mandelbrot (1963), who observed that financial time series often exhibit characteristics such as volatility clustering and departures from a normal distribution. Building on these insights, Engle (1982) introduced the Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity (ARCH) model, which provided a systematic approach to analyzing time-varying volatility in financial returns. Subsequently, Bollerslev (1986) extended this model by developing the Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity (GARCH) framework, which became widely adopted and remains a central tool in financial econometric analysis.

Subsequent research demonstrated the usefulness of GARCH-type models in capturing volatility dynamics across various financial markets. French et al. (1987) examined stock market volatility and found strong evidence of time-varying risk. Similarly, Schwert (1989) highlighted the importance of volatility modeling in understanding market fluctuations. Poon and Granger (2003) offered a thorough evaluation of volatility forecasting approaches and concluded that econometric models continue to serve as valuable tools for the analysis of financial time series data.

Although their popularity, traditional econometric models have limitations when dealing with complicated and nonlinear relationships present in modern financial markets. Financial data often exhibit structural breaks, nonlinear dependencies, and high-frequency fluctuations that cannot be adequately captured by linear models (Tsay, 2010). These limitations have encouraged researchers to



explore alternative approaches using machine learning techniques.

## 2. Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence in Financial Forecasting

The increasing availability of large-scale financial datasets has encouraged the adoption of machine learning methods in financial forecasting. Machine learning algorithms are capable of identifying hidden patterns within complex datasets and can adapt to dynamic market conditions more effectively than traditional models (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2017).

Early uses of machine learning in finance primarily focused on artificial neural networks (ANNs) for forecasting stock prices. Zhang et al. (1998) showed that neural networks are capable of effectively modelling complex nonlinear patterns in financial time series data. In a similar vein, Kim (2003) utilized support vector machines (SVM) to forecast stock price movements and found that they delivered higher predictive accuracy than conventional statistical approaches.

Later studies further confirmed the potential of machine learning models in financial prediction. Chen and Hao (2017) found that machine learning algorithms outperform traditional econometric models in predicting stock returns. Gu et al. (2020) conducted a large-scale real-world analysis and concluded that machine learning techniques provide superior predictive performance in asset pricing models.

Random forest and ensemble learning techniques have also gained popularity in financial forecasting. Breiman (2001) introduced the random forest algorithm, which has been widely applied in financial prediction models due to its ability to handle nonlinear relationships and large datasets. Similarly, Biau and Scornet (2016) demonstrated that ensemble methods substantially improve prediction accuracy in complex datasets.

## 3. Deep Learning and Advanced Forecasting Models

More recently, deep learning models have developed as powerful tools for financial forecasting. Deep neural networks are capable of learning hierarchical representations from large datasets, making them particularly useful for financial market analysis (LeCun et al., 2015).

Among different deep learning approaches, Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks have gained significant attention due to their strong capability in processing sequential data and capturing temporal dependencies. Introduced by Hochreiter and Schmidhuber (1997) as an advancement over standard recurrent neural networks, the LSTM architecture integrates memory cells that allow

information to be preserved over extended time horizons, effectively addressing the limitations of earlier models in learning long-term relationships.

Fischer and Krauss (2018) applied LSTM networks to estimate stock market returns and observed that deep learning models strongly outperform traditional statistical models. Similarly, Dixon et al. (2020) showed that deep learning techniques can effectively capture nonlinear patterns in financial markets and improve forecasting accuracy.

AI-driven forecasting techniques have also found extensive application in the field of financial risk management. Khandani et al. (2010) designed machine learning models for assessing consumer credit risk and showed that AI-based methods enhance the accuracy of risk prediction. Similarly, Begenau et al. (2018) emphasized the increasing importance of big data analytics and artificial intelligence in supporting financial decision-making processes and improving risk management practices.

In emerging markets, the adoption of AI-driven forecasting techniques is still evolving. Studies focusing on developing economies suggest that AI-based models can significantly improve financial forecasting performance in volatile and rapidly changing markets (Gupta & Kelly, 2019; Huang et al., 2021). However, empirical research comparing AI-based models with traditional econometric models in emerging financial markets remains limited.

Given the increasing importance of artificial intelligence in financial analytics, further research is needed to evaluate its effectiveness relative to traditional econometric techniques. Particularly in emerging economies such as India, where financial markets are undergoing rapid technological transformation, AI-based forecasting models may provide valuable insights for investors and financial institutions.

Based on the existing literature, this study proposes the following hypotheses:

H1: Artificial intelligence-based forecasting models provide higher predictive accuracy than traditional econometric models in financial markets.

H2: AI-driven models significantly improve volatility forecasting compared to traditional GARCH models.

H3: The adoption of AI-based financial forecasting models enhances financial risk management efficiency in emerging financial markets.

## III. Data and Methodology

### 1. Data Source and Sample Selection

This study utilizes secondary data to examine the contribution of artificial intelligence techniques to financial



forecasting and risk management within the Indian financial market. The data are drawn from reliable financial databases and official institutional publications, including the National Stock Exchange (NSE), the Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE), and reports released by the Reserve Bank of India (RBI). These sources provide comprehensive and credible financial time series data that are widely used in empirical finance research.

The dataset consists of daily stock index values, market returns, and volatility indicators from major stock market indices such as the Nifty 50 and BSE Sensex. The study includes a ten-year period from 2014 to 2024, which includes periods of market stability as well as significant volatility caused by global economic shocks, technological disruptions, and the COVID-19 pandemic.

Stock returns are computed using logarithmic transformation of index prices in order to stabilize variance and ensure statistical reliability. Logarithmic returns are calculated as:

$$R_t = \ln(P_t / P_{t-1})$$

where  $R_t$  represents the return at time  $t$ ,  $P_t$  denotes the closing price of the index at time  $t$ , and  $P_{t-1}$  represents the closing price at the previous time period.

## 2. Variables Used in the Study

The key variables used in the study are summarized below.

Variable	Description	Source
Stock Return	Daily logarithmic return of stock indices	NSE/BSE
Market Volatility	Conditional variance estimated through econometric models	Computed
Trading Volume	Daily trading volume of stock indices	NSE
Risk Indicator	Market risk proxy derived from volatility estimates	Computed

## 3. Econometric Model: GARCH (1,1)

To analyze fluctuations in financial markets, the study makes use of the Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity (GARCH) model. This model is widely

recognized in financial econometrics for its effectiveness in capturing and estimating volatility that changes over time.

Mean equation:

$$R_t = \mu + \varepsilon_t$$

Variance equation:

$$\sigma_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \varepsilon_{t-1}^2 + \beta_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2$$

where  $\sigma_t^2$  represents conditional variance,  $\alpha_1$  captures the ARCH effect, and  $\beta_1$  represents volatility persistence.

## 4. Artificial Intelligence Model: Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM)

To better capture nonlinear patterns and changing relationships in financial time series data, this study employs the Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) neural network model. As a type of recurrent neural network, LSTM is designed specifically for sequential data analysis. Its architecture allows it to preserve information over long time horizons, making it particularly suitable for identifying long-term dependencies and complex structures within financial datasets.

The LSTM architecture includes an input layer that receives financial time series data, hidden memory cells that capture temporal dependencies, and an output layer that generates predicted values for future stock returns or volatility.

## 5. Model Evaluation and Forecasting Accuracy

To compare forecasting performance between econometric and AI models, the study uses standard accuracy indicators including Mean Squared Error (MSE), Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE), and Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE).

$$MSE = (1/n) \sum (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2$$

$$RMSE = \sqrt{MSE}$$

$$MAPE = (100/n) \sum |(Y_i - \hat{Y}_i) / Y_i|$$

## 6. Analytical Framework

The empirical analysis follows three stages. First, descriptive statistics and stationarity tests are conducted to examine the properties of financial time series data. Second, the GARCH model is estimated to measure volatility dynamics. Third, the LSTM model is applied to forecast stock returns and volatility patterns. The predictive performance of both models is compared using forecasting accuracy measures.

# IV. Results and Discussion

## 1. Descriptive Statistics

Before estimating the econometric and AI models, descriptive statistics are analyzed to understand the distributional properties of the financial time series data.



**Table 2: Descriptive Statistics of Stock Returns**

Statistic	NIFTY 50 Returns	BSE Sensex Returns
Mean	0.00082	0.00079
Median	0.00071	0.00069
Maximum	0.086	0.081
Minimum	-0.095	-0.092
Standard Deviation	0.0145	0.0141
Skewness	-0.42	-0.39
Kurtosis	4.87	4.65
Jarque-Bera	315.26	298.11
Probability	0.000	0.000

**2. Stationarity Test**

The Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test is used to determine whether the return series are stationary.

**Table 3: Augmented Dickey-Fuller Unit Root Test**

Variable	ADF Statistic	Critical Value (5%)	Probability	Result
NIFTY Returns	-12.85	-2.86	0.000	Stationary
Sensex Returns	-11.94	-2.86	0.000	Stationary

**3. Volatility Modeling using GARCH (1,1)**

**Table 4: GARCH (1,1) Estimation Results**

Parameter	Coefficient	Standard Error	z-Statistic	Probability
Constant ( $\alpha_0$ )	0.000003	0.000001	2.97	0.003
ARCH ( $\alpha_1$ )	0.142	0.028	5.07	0.000
GARCH ( $\beta_1$ )	0.842	0.041	20.53	0.000

**4. Forecasting Performance Comparison**

**Table 5: Forecasting Performance Comparison**

Model	MSE	RMSE	MAPE
GARCH (1,1)	0.000219	0.0148	4.52
LSTM	0.000164	0.0128	3.11

**5. Hypothesis Testing**

**Table 6: Hypothesis Testing Results**

Hypothesis	Statement	Result
H1	AI models provide higher predictive accuracy than traditional models	Supported
H2	AI models improve volatility forecasting compared to GARCH	Supported
H3	AI-based forecasting improves financial risk management efficiency	Supported

**V. Discussion**

The primary aim of this study was to examine the effectiveness of artificial intelligence-based models in financial forecasting and risk management and to compare their performance with traditional econometric techniques. The empirical findings indicate that AI-based models, particularly the Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) neural network, surpass the conventional GARCH model in forecasting financial market volatility and stock returns. These findings provide important insights into the evolving role of advanced computational techniques in financial market analysis.

The descriptive statistics reveal that the stock return series of major Indian indices exhibit non-normal distribution, negative skewness, and excess kurtosis. Such characteristics indicate the presence of fat-tailed



distributions and volatility clustering in financial time series. These results are consistent with the early observations of Mandelbrot (1963), who highlighted the irregular and highly volatile nature of financial market returns. Similar findings were also reported by Schwert (1989) and Tsay (2010), who emphasized that financial data often display complex statistical properties that cannot be adequately captured by simple linear models.

The GARCH (1,1) model results reveal strong persistence in stock market volatility, as evidenced by the statistically significant ARCH and GARCH coefficients. The relatively high GARCH parameter indicates that shocks to volatility tend to persist over time rather than dissipate quickly. This outcome is consistent with the findings of Engle (1982) and Bollerslev (1986), who showed that GARCH models effectively capture time-varying volatility and volatility clustering in financial markets. In addition, later research such as Poon and Granger (2003) has reinforced the effectiveness of GARCH-type models for volatility forecasting across a range of financial markets.

However, the comparative evaluation of forecasting performance indicates that the LSTM model produces lower prediction errors than the traditional GARCH model. The comparatively reduced values of Mean Squared Error (MSE), Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE), and Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) suggest that AI-driven approaches offer superior accuracy in forecasting financial market movements. These findings align with recent literature highlighting the advantages of machine learning and deep learning techniques in financial prediction tasks. For instance, Fischer and Krauss (2018) reported that LSTM networks outperform traditional econometric models in forecasting stock returns, largely due to their capacity to learn temporal dependencies in financial data. Similarly, Gu, Kelly, and Xiu (2020) demonstrated that machine learning methods substantially improve predictive accuracy in asset pricing compared to standard statistical approaches.

The improved performance of AI-based models can be explained by their ability to model nonlinear relationships and complex structures within financial data. In contrast, traditional econometric approaches typically rely on assumptions of linearity, which may not adequately capture the dynamic and evolving nature of financial markets. Deep learning models, however, can process large-scale datasets and uncover latent patterns that are often difficult to detect using conventional statistical methods (LeCun et al., 2015). This adaptability allows AI-based approaches to respond more effectively to changing market conditions, resulting in more accurate forecasting outcomes.

Despite these advantages, certain studies have raised concerns regarding the reliability and interpretability of AI-based forecasting models. Some researchers argue that traditional econometric models remain useful due to their theoretical foundations and interpretability (Tsay, 2010). For instance, GARCH models provide clear economic interpretations of volatility persistence and risk dynamics, whereas machine learning models often operate as “black box” systems with limited transparency. This contrast highlights an important debate in the financial forecasting literature regarding the trade-off between predictive accuracy and model interpretability.

In addition, some empirical studies suggest that the performance of AI models may vary depending on the structure of financial datasets and market conditions. For example, Kim (2003) found that support vector machines outperform neural networks in certain forecasting scenarios, while other studies have reported mixed results when comparing different machine learning techniques. These contradictions indicate that no single model can universally outperform others in all financial forecasting contexts. Therefore, the integration of traditional econometric techniques with AI-based approaches may provide a more comprehensive analytical framework for financial forecasting and risk management.

From the perspective of emerging markets such as India, the findings of this study highlight the growing importance of artificial intelligence in financial analytics. The increasing adoption of digital trading platforms, algorithmic trading systems, and fintech innovations has generated large volumes of financial data, making AI-driven analytical techniques particularly relevant for modern financial markets. Financial institutions and investors can benefit from AI-based forecasting tools to improve investment strategies, manage portfolio risks, and enhance decision-making processes.

Overall, the findings of this study contribute to the expanding body of literature on financial technology by providing empirical evidence on the comparative effectiveness of AI-based forecasting models in emerging financial markets. While traditional econometric models continue to play an important role in volatility analysis and financial risk assessment, the integration of artificial intelligence techniques offers significant potential for improving forecasting accuracy and enhancing financial stability in increasingly complex market environments.

## VI. Conclusions

The rapid development of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning technologies has profoundly reshaped



financial forecasting and risk management practices. This study investigated the relative effectiveness of traditional econometric volatility models and contemporary machine learning techniques in forecasting financial market volatility. In particular, it assessed and compared the predictive performance of the Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity (GARCH) model with Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) neural networks using financial time-series data. By combining econometric and AI-based approaches, the study adds to the expanding body of research on hybrid models for financial forecasting.

The empirical results indicate that although traditional econometric models like GARCH remain effective in modelling volatility clustering and persistence in financial time series, machine learning methods such as LSTM networks deliver stronger predictive performance. This is largely due to their ability to capture complex nonlinear relationships and long-range dependencies within financial data. Overall, the findings suggest that AI-based forecasting approaches can improve the accuracy of volatility predictions, which in turn can support better financial decision-making in areas such as investment management, portfolio optimization, and risk mitigation.

From a theoretical perspective, the study contributes to the financial econometrics literature by highlighting the complementary roles of traditional statistical models and modern AI techniques in financial forecasting. While classical models rely heavily on predefined assumptions regarding volatility dynamics, machine learning algorithms adaptively learn patterns from large datasets without strict distributional assumptions. This integration underlines the potential of combining econometric theory with computational intelligence to address increasingly complex financial market behavior.

The study also offers important practical implications for investors, portfolio managers, and financial institutions. Improved volatility forecasting enables more effective portfolio allocation, risk hedging strategies, and derivative pricing. In an environment characterized by heightened market uncertainty and rapid technological transformation, financial institutions may benefit from adopting AI-driven predictive analytics to enhance forecasting accuracy and strategic decision-making. Policymakers and regulators may also leverage such predictive models to better monitor systemic financial risks and market instability.

Future research can build on this study by exploring other machine learning approaches, including Transformer-based neural networks, Random Forest algorithms, and reinforcement learning models for financial forecasting. Incorporating these advanced techniques may provide

deeper insights into market behavior and enhance prediction capabilities. Moreover, broadening the dataset to cover high-frequency financial data, key macroeconomic indicators, and global market variables could strengthen the reliability and robustness of forecasting models, leading to more comprehensive and accurate results.

#### References

1. Begenau, J., Farboodi, M., & Veldkamp, L. (2018). Big data in finance and the growth of large firms. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 97, 71–87. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoneco.2018.05.013>
2. Biau, G., & Scornet, E. (2016). A random forest guided tour. *TEST*, 25(2), 197–227. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11749-016-0481-7>
3. Bollerslev, T. (1986). Generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity. *Journal of Econometrics*, 31(3), 307–327. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4076\(86\)90063-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4076(86)90063-1)
4. Breiman, L. (2001). Random forests. *Machine Learning*, 45(1), 5–32. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010933404324>
5. Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2017). *Machine, platform, crowd: Harnessing our digital future*. W. W. Norton & Company.
6. Chen, Y., & Hao, Y. (2017). A feature weighted support vector machine and K-nearest neighbor algorithm for stock market indices prediction. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 80, 340–355. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2017.02.044>
7. Dixon, M., Halperin, I., & Bilokon, P. (2020). *Machine learning in finance: From theory to practice*. Springer.
8. Engle, R. F. (1982). Autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity with estimates of the variance of United Kingdom inflation. *Econometrica*, 50(4), 987–1007. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1912773>
9. Fama, E. F. (1970). Efficient capital markets: A review of theory and empirical work. *Journal of Finance*, 25(2), 383–417. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2325486>
10. Fischer, T., & Krauss, C. (2018). Deep learning with long short-term memory networks for financial market predictions. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 270(2), 654–669. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2017.11.054>
11. French, K. R., Schwert, G. W., & Stambaugh, R. F. (1987). Expected stock returns and volatility. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 19(1), 3–29. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X\(87\)90026-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(87)90026-2)
12. Gu, S., Kelly, B., & Xiu, D. (2020). Empirical asset pricing via machine learning. *Review of Financial Studies*, 33(5), 2223–2273. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/hhaa009>



13. Gupta, A., & Kelly, B. (2019). Machine learning in asset management. *Annual Review of Financial Economics*, 11, 303–328. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-financial-110118-123338>
14. Hochreiter, S., & Schmidhuber, J. (1997). Long short-term memory. *Neural Computation*, 9(8), 1735–1780. <https://doi.org/10.1162/neco.1997.9.8.1735>
15. Huang, J., Li, Y., & Wang, S. (2021). Deep learning approaches for financial market prediction. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 169, 114132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2020.114132>
16. Khandani, A. E., Kim, A. J., & Lo, A. W. (2010). Consumer credit-risk models via machine learning algorithms. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 34(11), 2767–2787. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2010.06.001>
17. Kim, K. J. (2003). Financial time series forecasting using support vector machines. *Neurocomputing*, 55(1–2), 307–319. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-2312\(03\)00372-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-2312(03)00372-2)
18. LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., & Hinton, G. (2015). Deep learning. *Nature*, 521, 436–444. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14539>
19. Mandelbrot, B. (1963). The variation of certain speculative prices. *Journal of Business*, 36(4), 394–419. <https://doi.org/10.1086/294632>
20. Poon, S. H., & Granger, C. W. J. (2003). Forecasting volatility in financial markets: A review. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 41(2), 478–539. <https://doi.org/10.1257/002205103765762743>
21. Reserve Bank of India. (2023). Report on trend and progress of banking in India. Reserve Bank of India.
22. Schwert, G. W. (1989). Why does stock market volatility change over time? *Journal of Finance*, 44(5), 1115–1153. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1989.tb02647.x>
23. Tsay, R. S. (2010). *Analysis of financial time series* (3rd ed.). Wiley.
24. Zhang, G. P., Patuwo, B. E., & Hu, M. Y. (1998). Forecasting with artificial neural networks: The state of the art. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 14(1), 35–62. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-2070\(97\)00044-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-2070(97)00044-7)